Sent By: Crawford PLLC;

651686 7111;

Aug-5-02

PATENT TIS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

RAEDER

Examiner:

Nguyen, D.

Serial No.:

09/383,876

Group Art Unit:

3723

AUG 05 2002

Filed:

August 26, 1999

Docket No.:

AMDA.316PA

GROUP 3700

Title:

POLISHING UNIFORMITY VIA PAD CONDITIONING

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this correspondence and the papers, as described hereinabove, are being transmitted via facsimile only-Formal Entry, to the attention of Examiner Nguyen Assistant Commissioner for Patents, HOX AF, Washington, D.C. 20231, on August 5, 2002.

Facsimile No. (703) 872-9303

Waltigney

FINAL OFFICE ACTION RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Final Office Action dated June 4, 2002, please consider the following remarks in connection with the above application.

Remarks

The Final Office Action dated June 4, 2002 indicated that claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10-12 and 17-19 stand rejected under §102(b) in view of Renteln (U.S. Patent No. 5,664,987); claims 5, 7 and 9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the '987 reference in view of Yang (U.S. Patent No. 6,113,462); and claims 14-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over the '987 reference in view of Hu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,227,947).

Favorable reconsideration of this application is requested in view of the following remarks. For the reasons set forth below, Applicant respectfully submits that the claimed invention is in condition for allowance.

In regard to the merits of the rejections, which are all based upon the '987 reference, Applicant submits that the Section 102(b) rejection is improper because the Examiner failed to cite a portion of a reference that teaches or suggests every element of the presently-claimed